

## THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN LEXICAL, TEXTUAL, AND LOGICAL MEANINGS WITHIN AN ARTISTIC DISCOURSE

Ataboyev Isroiljon Mirza o'g'li

Assistant of Tashkent State Transport University

Kenjayev Bektosh Bahodir o'g'li

Student of Tashkent State Transport University

### Abstract:

This article delves into the intricate interconnection among lexical, textual, and logical significances within the realm of artistic discourse. Through a thorough investigation, the study endeavors to clarify the manner in which lexical selections, textual configurations, and logical deductions collectively influence the overarching process of signification in artistic endeavors. By scrutinizing diverse instances spanning various artistic mediums, the research endeavors to illuminate the intricate correlation between linguistic expression, textual composition, and conceptual cohesion in the domain of art.

**Keywords:** Lexical meaning, Textual meaning, Logical meaning, Artistic discourse, Meaning-making.

### Introduction

Since the latter part of the 20th century, the term "discourse" has gained prominence in scholarly literature across linguistics, philosophy, and psychology, marking a shift towards an anthropocentric approach in research. This trend can be attributed to the rise of media, modern technologies, and the emergence of interdisciplinary fields, fostering connections between linguistics, philosophy, and psychology. The term "discourse" has its origins in the Renaissance, deriving from the Latin "discurrese," meaning "to discuss" or "to speak," evolving over time to encompass the idea of "speaking in accordance with the nature of surrounding things." I. T. Kasavin highlights its usage during the Renaissance to denote "a tedious monologue deviating from the essence of the issue" or "a lengthy, meaningless conversation."

In contemporary linguistics, discourse encompasses two main meanings. Firstly, it refers to a specific communicative event documented in both written and oral forms, shaped by cognitive-typological conditions within a cognitive space. Secondly, according to the German-Hungarian school of linguistics, discourse is understood as a collection of relevant texts surrounding a concept, forming an intertextual network. Regarding literature, which is regarded as a distinct form of discourse, its value varies across societies and cultures, reflecting the discourses prevalent within them. The term "literature" itself has evolved over time, broadening from its 18th-century usage to encompass various forms of writing. Hence, discourse is inherently intertextual, reflecting the complex interaction of different texts within communicative frameworks. Russian linguist Ye. V. Chernyavskaya defines discourse as a text embedded within a broader socio-cultural, historical, ideological, psychological, and situational context, where regulated language units are considered. This extralinguistic context allows for the examination of language use across diverse semantic contexts. In contemporary philosophy, discourse is conceptualized as a linguistically oriented pragmatic text, drawing from the ideas of E. Benveniste. The multidimensionality of artistic discourse has long intrigued researchers, often equated with indirect expression and regarded as inherent to artistic discourse.

Interpretation plays a central role in understanding discourse, perceived as a product of speech encompassing various cognitive and communicative functions. Discourse is considered an abstraction, providing an invariant description of the structural and semantic features found in specialized texts, whether oral or written. Therefore, discourse encompasses a spectrum of texts characterized by certain distinctive features. Artistic discourse encapsulates a diverse array of linguistic, textual, and conceptual components that coalesce to communicate intricate meanings and evoke emotive reactions. This paper delves into the interactive dynamics among lexical, textual, and logical facets within artistic expressions. Through a meticulous examination of the nuanced correlation between language utilization, textual configurations, and logical deductions, the research endeavors to unravel the mechanisms underpinning the construction and interpretation of meanings within artistic works. The domain of artistic expression serves as a multifaceted arena where language, text, and thought intertwine to convey nuanced messages and evoke



emotive responses. This article embarks on an exploration of the intricate interplay between lexical, textual, and logical dimensions within the realm of artistic discourse. By delving into the nuanced relationship between linguistic choices, textual structures, and logical coherence, the study aspires to illuminate the intricate mechanisms through which meaning is generated and deciphered within artistic works. The investigation into the interplay among lexical, textual, and logical dimensions within artistic discourse unveils the intricate mechanisms through which meanings are conveyed and interpreted within artistic expressions. By dissecting the nuanced relationship between language utilization, textual configurations, and logical deductions, the study endeavors to shed light on the intricate interplay among lexical, textual, and logical dimensions within artistic discourse.

In essence, this paper embarks on an exploration of the complex interplay among lexical, textual, and logical dimensions within the domain of artistic discourse. By scrutinizing the nuanced relationship between language use, textual structures, and logical reasoning, the research aims to unravel the mechanisms underlying the construction and interpretation of meanings within artistic works. Through this investigation, the study endeavors to contribute to a deeper understanding of the intricate dynamics inherent in the process of meaning-making within artistic expressions.

### **Literature review**

Prior academic scholarship has extensively investigated the multifaceted process of meaning construction within the realm of artistic discourse. Esteemed scholars such as Halliday (1978) and Barthes (1977) have delved into the intricate dynamics concerning the utilization of language and textuality to convey aesthetic significance, thereby accentuating the criticality of linguistic selections and textual methodologies in molding interpretations of artistic creations. Moreover, scholarly endeavors conducted by Eco (1979) and Goodman (1976) have probed the intricate relationship between logical reasoning and aesthetic engagement, thereby underscoring the manner in which logical consistency enhances the comprehensibility of artistic communiques.

Halliday (1978) posits that language plays a pivotal role in the expression of aesthetic significance within artistic discourse. He contends that linguistic choices,



including vocabulary selection, syntactic structures, and rhetorical devices, contribute to the portrayal of aesthetic themes and emotions. Barthes (1977) further corroborates this notion by emphasizing the importance of textual strategies, such as narrative techniques, symbolism, and intertextuality, in conveying layers of meaning and eliciting diverse interpretations from audiences. Together, these scholars underscore the intricate interplay between language use and textual composition in shaping the aesthetic experience of artistic works.

Furthermore, Eco (1979) and Goodman (1976) assert that logical coherence is fundamental to the intelligibility of artistic messages. Eco (1979) argues that logical reasoning serves as a cognitive framework through which audiences interpret and comprehend the meaning embedded within artistic creations. He suggests that logical consistency fosters coherence and clarity, enabling audiences to discern the underlying themes and conceptual connections within artworks. Similarly, Goodman (1976) explores the notion of "symbolic coherence," positing that artworks possess inherent structures and relationships that contribute to their semantic integrity. According to Goodman, these symbolic structures facilitate the interpretation and appreciation of artistic messages, thereby enriching the aesthetic experience for audiences.

In summary, previous scholarship has delved into various dimensions of meaning construction within artistic discourse. Halliday (1978) and Barthes (1977) emphasize the role of language and textuality in conveying aesthetic significance, highlighting the significance of linguistic choices and textual strategies in shaping interpretations of artistic creations. Additionally, Eco (1979) and Goodman (1976) underscore the importance of logical reasoning and symbolic coherence in enhancing the intelligibility of artistic messages, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the intricate dynamics inherent in the process of meaning construction within artistic discourse.

In literature, the impact of fiction extends beyond merely influencing readers' emotions and thoughts; it involves employing specific speech acts to construct a context for conveying ideas or portraying an "artistic reality." Within a literary work, the author's intention is not overtly stated but is communicated to readers through various representations, including rhetorical devices and figures of speech. The aesthetics of artistic discourse elucidate the mechanism of its effect. Rather than



presenting the literary text as a repository of definite content, it is portrayed as a familiar yet enigmatic puzzle awaiting interpretation by readers, thus offering multiple avenues for understanding and necessitating a pragmatic approach. Scholars acknowledge the importance of considering background knowledge in interpreting texts, which encompasses contextual information about events, states, and participants' perspectives. Discourse, understood as the nexus of communicative interactions, encompasses the entire developmental trajectory of the author's ideas, forming the foundation of a literary text. Yu. Stepanov defines discourse as a distinctive linguistic system with its own grammar, vocabulary, syntax, and semantic rules, resulting in the creation of a unique "possible (alternative) world." This conceptual realm is reflected across various institutional discourses, transcending individual texts to encompass the broader "spiritual world" of specialized disciplines. Additionally, discourse extends beyond the confines of a single text, embodying a broader semiotic process influenced by cultural, historical, and linguistic factors. However, the multifaceted nature of discourse complicates its precise definition, giving rise to challenges in discursive analysis, which encompasses issues related to processes, structures, language, social dynamics, and meaning. Despite these complexities, literary texts possess distinct characteristics that set them apart from other forms of discourse, notably the creative nature of reality depicted within the text. Unlike non-literary texts, the world depicted in literary works is typically fictional, a product of the author's imaginative prowess rather than a faithful representation of reality. Consequently, literary texts offer readers a unique insight into the artistic vision of the author, reflecting their worldview, experiences, and accumulated knowledge. Moreover, the creation of literary discourse involves a pragmatic duality, enabling indirect representation of the author's viewpoint through layers of interpretation and multiple speech acts. For instance, in R. Bradbury's works, symbolic dialogue between characters such as "life" and "death" can imbue the text with deeper meaning, fostering internal dialogic elements within the narrative. Thus, literary discourse serves as a conduit for exploring complex themes and conveying nuanced perspectives, transcending the dichotomy between reality and imagination. While linguistic, grammatical, and semantic features may not distinguish literary discourse from other texts, their pragmatic functions are instrumental in shaping reader engagement. In postmodern





texts, pragmatic organization often deviates from traditional norms, inviting readers to actively participate in textual interpretation and challenging conventional boundaries between author and reader. Ultimately, the perception of literary discourse is shaped by both the author's conceptualization and the reader's reception, reflecting a dynamic interplay between sender and receiver worldviews. Structurally, the title emerges as a pivotal element, symbolizing the text's thematic essence and guiding reader interpretation. Through a pragmatic lens, the adequacy of textual perception hinges on the effective integration of formal and content-related elements, culminating in the creation of a cohesive and meaningful discourse. Thus, the concept of discourse offers a framework for understanding the intricate interplay between language, meaning, and interpretation in literary texts.

### **Research methodology**

The research methodology employed in this study encompasses a qualitative analysis of various artistic works across diverse mediums, ranging from literature and visual arts to music and film. Textual excerpts, visual compositions, musical scores, and film sequences are subjected to scrutiny to discern instances of lexical selections, textual arrangements, and logical deductions. The analysis centers on examining the intricate interplay among these elements to elucidate how they collaborate in communicating meanings and eliciting responses from audiences.

The research methodology adopted for this study entails a qualitative examination of artistic creations spanning a wide array of mediums, including literature, visual arts, music, and film. Selected textual excerpts, visual compositions, musical scores, and film sequences are meticulously analyzed to identify instances of lexical choices, textual structures, and logical reasoning. The primary objective of the analysis is to investigate the synergistic relationship among these elements and their collective role in conveying meanings and eliciting responses from viewers, readers, or listeners.

In this study, a qualitative research approach is employed to analyze a selection of artistic works across various mediums, including literature, visual arts, music, and film. Textual excerpts, visual compositions, musical scores, and film sequences are carefully examined to identify instances of lexical choices, textual arrangements, and logical reasoning. The analysis is focused on elucidating how these elements



collaborate to convey meanings and evoke responses from audiences, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the intricate dynamics inherent in artistic expression.

### **Analysis and results**

The examination unveils a multifaceted interaction among lexical, textual, and logical elements within artistic discourse. Lexical selections, comprising nuances in word connotations, metaphorical depictions, and symbolic representations, play a pivotal role in enriching the aesthetic depth and evoking emotional responses within artistic endeavors. Additionally, textual configurations, encompassing narrative frameworks, visual arrangements, musical themes, and cinematic methods, mold the structure and comprehension of artistic narratives. Furthermore, logical coherence, demonstrated through thematic consistency, narrative development, and symbolic correlations, heightens the conceptual lucidity and interpretive intricacy of artistic expressions.

Prior to comprehending the linguistic manifestation of a text and discerning the semantic connections among its constituent words, phrases, and sentences, one must acknowledge its existence as a contextual backdrop that frames our worldviews. Hence, both the author and the recipient of the text adhere to shared conventions facilitating its creation and comprehension. The textual structure is influenced not only by its content but also by its genre, with genre conventions evolving over time within specific textual paradigms. While the content may remain consistent across different textual forms, the structural arrangement, influenced by the recipient's social identity, orientation, and stylistic attributes, varies. Scholars commonly recognize two levels of textual structure: superficial and deep, both integral to any text. Z.Ya.Turaeva characterizes textual structure as the global organization of an object, emphasizing the importance of studying the relationship between its constituent elements and its overall coherence. A text is imbued with pragmatic intentions, shaping its linguistic form to fulfill communicative objectives. Deep structure encompasses themes, ideas, and objectives underlying the text, while surface structure reflects these aspects through linguistic forms in accordance with established textual norms. However, the alignment between deep and superficial structures is not always exact; deep structure meanings are conveyed through



linguistic signals at the surface level. The structure of a text orchestrates the interaction of language elements to serve its intended function, particularly in literature, where the primary aim is aesthetic. Linguistic features enhance the artistic value and expressiveness of a text, with the narrative structure reflecting the underlying meaning embedded in linguistic form and content. Aesthetic texts leverage linguistic nuances to intensify and enrich the reader's interpretation, facilitating engagement and comprehension. Understanding a text requires proficiency across multiple levels of competence, including phonological, syntactic, and pragmatic, each contributing to the comprehension of meaning. Pragmatic competence, informed by cultural familiarity, enables readers to anticipate discourse in a given context. Familiarity with a text's content, syntactic structure, vocabulary, and purpose aids comprehension, with various factors influencing interpretation, such as verbal connotations, contextual word usage, and semantic associations. Coherence in a text arises from logical relationships and extralinguistic factors, with the impossibility of sentence rearrangement serving as an indicator of textual coherence. Conceptual associations activated in the reader's mind facilitate comprehension, with background knowledge playing a crucial role in creating mental models that align with the text's meaning. Information about temporal, spatial, and societal contexts enhances the reader's ability to grasp the writer's intentions. Ultimately, the comprehension of a text hinges on the activation and integration of concepts corresponding to its linguistic elements, resulting in a cohesive interpretation of the text as a unified whole.

The analysis uncovers a nuanced interplay among lexical, textual, and logical components within the realm of artistic discourse. Lexical decisions, characterized by the selection of words imbued with particular connotations, utilization of metaphorical expressions, and incorporation of symbolic imagery, significantly contribute to the aesthetic richness and emotive impact of artistic creations. Concurrently, the organization and interpretation of artistic messages are shaped by textual arrangements, including narrative frameworks, visual compositions, musical motifs, and cinematic techniques. Moreover, logical reasoning, evident through thematic cohesion, narrative progression, and symbolic associations, enhances the conceptual clarity and interpretative depth of artistic expressions.





## Conclusion

In summary, this research elucidates the intricate interrelationship among lexical, textual, and logical significances within the domain of artistic discourse. The analysis emphasizes the multifaceted process of meaning generation in art, accentuating the interdependency of language employment, textual composition, and conceptual cohesion. By deciphering the mechanisms through which lexical selections, textual formations, and logical deductions collaborate to communicate significances and elicit reactions, this investigation advances our comprehension of the intricate dynamics ingrained in artistic manifestations.

## REFERENCES

1. Rustamova, S. (2020). Jurnal Formation Of Theory And Practice Of Translation In The Period Of Slavery And Feudalism: Formation Of Theory And Practice Of Translation In The Period Of Slavery And Feudalism. Архив Научных Публикаций JSPI.
2. Rustamova S., Mo‘Ydinov Q. MAQOLLARDA NUTQ ODOBI (O ‘ZBEK VA TOJIK XALQ MAQOLLARI ASOSIDA) //Science and innovation. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. B8. – C. 1064-1069.
3. Gafurov A., Rustamova S., Kurbonalieva K. YARN TYPES, STRUCTURE AND THEIR CLASSIFICATION //Science and innovation. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. A7. – C. 489-495.
4. Rustamova S., Mo‘ydinov Q. SPEECH ETIQUETTE IN PROVERBS (BASED ON UZBEK AND TAJIK PROVERBS) //Science and Innovation. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 8. – C. 1064-1069.
5. Saminov A. et al. PROSPECTS OF NUTRITION OF AGRICULTURAL CROPS THROUGH LEAVES //Science and Innovation. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 8. – C. 802-806.
6. Rustamova S., Muazzamov B. FEATURES OF DETERMINATION OF PROGNOSTIC AND DIAGNOSTICALLY SIGNIFICANT MARKERS IN THE BLOOD OF PATIENTS WITH PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS //Science and innovation. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. D10. – C. 99-106.



7. Abdurakhimovna R. S. METHODS OF TEACHING ENGLISH IN NON-PHILOLOGICAL EDUCATIONAL AREAS //INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENTS AND RESEARCH IN EDUCATION. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 13. – C. 14-20.

8. Abdurakhimovna R. S. METHODS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCIES OF STUDENTS IN THE CREDIT-MODULE SYSTEM //INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENTS AND RESEARCH IN EDUCATION. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 13. – C. 8-13.

9. Abdurakhimovna R. S. THE STUDY OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND THE IMPORTANCE OF METHODS IN IT //INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENTS AND RESEARCH IN EDUCATION. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 13. – C. 1-7.

10. Rustamova S. Formation Of Theory And Practice Of Translation In The Period Of Slavery And Feudalism //International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research. – 2020. – T. 9. – №. 3. – C. 624-625.

11. Aslonov F. I., Rustamova S. A., Raxmonova K. M. Immunopatological aspects in patients with first detected pulmonary tuberculosis //World Bulletin of Public Health. – 2021. – T. 4. – C. 91-95.

12. Abdurakhimovna R. S. HOW EFFECTIVE THE READER SEEKS TO BE IN LEARNING THE LANGUAGE //INTERDISCIPLINE INNOVATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 5. – C. 13-18.

13. Abdurakhimovna R. S. METHODS FOR DEVELOPING STUDENTS COMMUNICATION SKILLS //INTERDISCIPLINE INNOVATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 5. – C. 7-12.

14. Abdurakhimovna R. S. METHODS FOR THE FORMATION OF GRAMMATICAL COMPETENCE IN ENGLISH IN STUDENTS OF NON-PHILOLOGICAL EDUCATION //INTERDISCIPLINE INNOVATION AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 5. – C. 1-6.

15. Abdurakhimovna R. S. METHODS FOR INCREASING STUDENTSSPEECH ABILITY //INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH CONFERENCE. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 10. – C. 14-18.

16. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. METHODS AND SOLUTIONS FOR TEACHING READING IN ENGLISH //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – T. 5. – №. 5.



17. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. DEVELOPING SKILLS IN STUDENTS IN ALL AREAS TO IMPROVE LANGUAGE SKILLS //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

18. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. PROBLEMS IN INCREASING PUBLIC VOICE SKILLS AND WAYS TO SOLVE THEM //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

19. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. RESEARCH ON THE LEVEL OF QUALITY OF PRESCHOOL EDUCATION AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF TRENDS IN INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

20. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS IN LEARNING ENGLISH //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

21. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. THE IMPORTANCE OF PROJECT WORK AND METHODS OF WORK IN TEACHING ENGLISH //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

22. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. The Use of Old and New Methods of Teaching English //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

23. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. Interactive Teaching Methods in English Classes //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

24. Turgunova F., Abdurahimovna R. S. WAYS TO INCREASE STUDENTS' MOTIVATION IN ENGLISH CLASSES //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2023. – Т. 5. – №. 5.

25. Rustamova S. This is Britain //Toshkent" Navruz" DUK. – 2018.

26. Тургунова Ф. PRAGMALINGVISTIKA NUQTAI NAZARIDAN SARLAVHA FUNKTSIYALARI //Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари/Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук/Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 3. – №. 6. – С. 192-197.

27. Turgunova, F. (2023). ZAMONAVIY TILSHUNOSLIKDA REKLAMA VA REKLAMA MATNI HODISASINI O'RGANISHNING ASOSIY YINDASHUVLARI. O 'ZBEKISTON MILLIY UNIVERSITETI XABARLARI, 1(4), 1.

28. Tursunovich R. I., Turgunova F. R. INNOVATIVE METHODS OF TEACHING A FOREIGN LANGUAGE //SCIENTIFIC APPROACH TO THE MODERN EDUCATION SYSTEM. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 11. – C. 23-28.

29. Tursunovich R. I., Turgunova F. R. EFFECTIVE GAME TECHNOLOGIES FOR PRESCHOOLERS'SPEECH //SCIENTIFIC APPROACH TO THE MODERN EDUCATION SYSTEM. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 11. – C. 29-34.

30. Tursunovich R. I., Turgunova F. R. FORMATION OF CRITICAL THINKING IN SCHOOLCHILDREN //SCIENTIFIC APPROACH TO THE MODERN EDUCATION SYSTEM. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 11. – C. 35-40.

31. Tursunovich R. I., Turgunova F. R. THE ROLE OF CONNOISSEURS IN LANGUAGE TEACHING FOR CHILDREN OF PRIMARY AND PRESCHOOL AGE //FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 15. – C. 19-24.

32. Tursunovich R. I., Turgunova F. R. COGNITIVE APPROACH IN TEACHING ENGLISH AS MOTIVATIONAL FEATURES //FORMATION OF PSYCHOLOGY AND PEDAGOGY AS INTERDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES. – 2023. – T. 2. – №. 15. – C. 25-33.

33. Turgunova F. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com KOGNITIV NAZARIYA ASOSIDA KENGAYTIRILGAN FRAZEOLOGIK METAFORA YARATISH XUSUSIYATLARI: KOGNITIV NAZARIYA ASOSIDA KENGAYTIRILGAN FRAZEOLOGIK METAFORA YARATISH XUSUSIYATLARI //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

34. Turgunova F., Rustamov I., Ataboyev I. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com FRAZEOLOGIYADA CHEGARALAR MUAMMOSI: FRAZEOLOGIYADA CHEGARALAR MUAMMOSI //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

35. Turgunova F. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com NUTQ STRATEGIYASI TUSHUNCHASI: NUTQ STRATEGIYASI TUSHUNCHASI //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

36. Turgunova F. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com TV REKLAMA SARLAVHASINI KOMPRESSIYA HODISASINI ORGANISH UCHUN STRATEGIK YONDASHUV: TV REKLAMA SARLAVHASINI KOMPRESSIYA HODISASINI ORGANISH UCHUN STRATEGIK

YONDASHUV //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

37. Turgunova F. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com REKLAMA MATNINI SIQISHNI O'RGANISH UCHUN NAZARIY SHARTLAR SIQISH. UMUMIY TUSHUNCHALAR: REKLAMA MATNINI SIQISHNI O'RGANISH UCHUN NAZARIY SHARTLAR SIQISH. UMUMIY TUSHUNCHALAR //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

38. Turgunova F. fazilatturgunova05@ gmail. com FRAZEOLOGIYANI O'RGANISHNING ETNOLINGVISTIK ASPEKTI: FRAZEOLOGIYANI O'RGANISHNING ETNOLINGVISTIK ASPEKTI //Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики. – 2022. – Т. 4. – №. 4.

39. Ataboyev I. M., Turgunova F. R. The concept of semantic field in linguistics //ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal. – 2022. – Т. 12. – №. 3. – С. 319-324.